

Public Document Pack

WEST (INNER) AREA COMMITTEE 4TH SEPTEMBER 2013

AGENDA ITEM 11 CHILDREN'S SERVICES PERFORMANCE UPDATE
REPLACEMENT APPENDIX 1 pages 47-49

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 11



To All Members of the
West Inner Area Committee

Democratic Services

Civic Hall
Leeds LS1 1UR

Contact: Debbie Oldham
Tel: (0113) 39 51712
Fax: (0113) 395 1599
Email: Debbie.oldham@leeds.gov.uk
Our Ref:
Your Ref:
Date 2nd September 2013

Dear Councillor

WEST INNER AREA COMMITTEE – 4th September 2013

I write with regard to the West Inner Area Committee meeting which is scheduled for Wednesday 4th September 2013.

Unfortunately there has been a formatting error on some of the tables contained in the agenda.

- Pages 47-49 of agenda, Children's Services Performance Update Appendix 1 – Direction of travel should show arrows.

I have attached clear copies of the affected pages, I would be grateful if you would include the documents with the agenda for the meeting.

Yours sincerely

Debbie Oldham
Governance Officer
Democratic Services

This page is intentionally left blank

Appendix one: performance data for Inner West area committee

Autumn 2013 Children's Services performance update

Measure	Leeds	Inner W	Current data period	Highest	Average	Lowest	Inner W		
							Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel
1. Number of children and young people 0-19	173,462	11,609	January 2013	24,510	17,289	11,609			
2. Percentage of children and young people	n/a	6.7%	January 2013	14.1%	10.0%	6.7%			
3. Number of primary schools	218	15	Current	28	22	15			
4. Number of secondary schools	36	2	Current	6	4	2			
4a. Number of through schools	2	0	Current	2	0	0			
5. Number of children's centres	58	4	Current	11	6	3			
<p>Commentary The Inner West area committee has 6.7 per cent of the city's 0-19 population, (11,609 children and young people, the smallest number of all area committees). There are 15 primary schools, two secondary schools, and four children's centres located within the area committee boundary.</p>									
Keeping children safe from harm	Leeds	Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel	Current data period	Highest	Average	Lowest	
6. Number of children looked after	1,358	144	169	↓	30 June 2013	389	131	22	
7. Number of children entering care	106	6	10	↓	Apr-Jun 2013	32	14	5	
8. Number of children subject to a child protection plan	897	74	131	↓	30 June 2013	217	88	15	
9. Number of CAFs initiated	308	30	16	↑	Apr-Jun 2013	55	30.2	17	
10. Number of requests for service	8,695	804	890	↓	Apr-Jun 2013	1,701	794	347	
11. Number of requests for service leading to a referral	2,964	261	293	↓	Apr-Jun 2013	635	282	83	
12. Number of LCC-employed foster carers	578	30	28	↑	30 June 2013	83	50	23	

12a.	Number of family placement foster carers	108	11	11	↔	30 June 2013	16	11	6
<p>Commentary</p> <p>25 fewer children and young people (a 15 per cent reduction) from the Inner West area committee area are looked after in June 2013 than in June 2012. This reduction is significantly higher than the five per cent citywide change over the same period. Eleven per cent of the children looked after cohort comes from the Inner West area committee area, the third highest proportion of all area committees. 40 per cent fewer children and young people entered care in the Inner West area committee area between April and June 2013 (six) than the same period 12 months ago (10), counter to the rise seen in the citywide numbers.</p> <p>44 per cent fewer children and young people from the Inner West area committee area are subject to a child protection plan in June 2013 (74) than in June 2012 (131), by far the largest reduction of all area committees. This reduction changed the Inner West area committee's proportion of the total cohort from 15 per cent to eight per cent (the third highest to the sixth highest). Twice as many CAFs (30 vs 16) were initiated in the first three months of 2012/13 than the first three months of 2011/12.</p> <p>10 per cent fewer requests for service (804 vs 890), and 11 per cent fewer referrals (261 vs 293) were received from the Inner West area committee area in the first three months of 2012/13 than the first three months of 2011/12. These reductions are significantly higher than the citywide reductions of four (requests for service), and three per cent (referrals).</p>									
Do well in learning and have the skills for life		Leeds	Inner W			Current data period	Highest	Average	Lowest
			Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel				
13.	Primary school attendance levels	95.3%	95.1%	95.6%	↓	2012-13 HT 1-4	96.2%	95.3%	93.9%
14.	Secondary school attendance levels	93.7%	91.1%	91.0%	↑	2012-13 HT 1-4	94.6%	93.3%	91.1%
15.	Number of pupils persistently absent at primary	1,839	134	124	↑	2012-13 HT 1-4	417	184	83
16.	Number of pupils persistently absent at secondary	3,067	265	286	↓	2012-13 HT 1-4	474	307	162
17.	Number of NEET ⁱ	1,501	168	137	↑	30 June 2013	330	149	47
17a.	Percentage of NEET ⁱ	6.7%	10.4%	8.4%	↑	30 June 2013	10.5%	6.2%	2.6%
18.	Number of 'not knowns'	1,283	81	198	↓	30 June 2013	408	116	41
18a.	Percentage of 'not knowns'	5.5%	5.0%	12.1%	↓	30 June 2013	14.5%	4.7%	2.2%

<p>Commentary Primary school attendance levels in the Inner West area committee area fell in line with the citywide levels, by 0.5 of a percentage point between 2011/12 and 2012/13, to 95.1 per cent. Secondary school attendance levels rose by 0.1 of a percentage point across the same period to 91.1 per cent, but remains the lowest attendance rate of all area committees. Persistent absence in Inner West area committee area primary schools rose slightly (by 10, or eight per cent), which is lower than the citywide rise of almost 13 per cent. There was a seven per cent reduction (21 fewer) in the number of secondary school pupils who were persistently absent, dropping from 286 in 2011/12 to 265 in 2012/13. This compares well against the modest reduction of 0.5 per cent seen in citywide levels.</p> <p>The percentage of 'not known' young people in the Inner West area committee area has reduced by seven percentage points, from 12 per cent in June 2012 to five per cent in June 2013. The percentage of young people identified as NEET has risen from 8.5 per cent in June 2012 to 10.5 per cent in June 2013.</p>									
Voice and influence	Leeds	Inner W			Current data period	Highest	Average	Lowest	
		Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel					
19. 10-17 year olds committing an offence	672	63	84	↘	Apr 12 - Mar 13	167	67	18	
Ofsted inspections	Leeds	Inner W			Current data period	Highest	Average	Lowest	
		Current reporting period	Previous reporting period	Direction of travel					
20. Percentage of primary schools good or better	83%	80%	67%	↗	31 July 2013	93%	82%	68%	
21. Percentage of secondary schools good or better	58%	50%	50%	↔	31 July 2013	75%	56%	25%	
22. Percentage of children's centres good or better	81%	100%	100%	↔	31 July 2013	100%	88%	60%	
23. Percentage of children's homes good or better	73%	100%	33%	↗	31 July 2013	100%	58%	0%	
Ofsted judgement - Inner West	Current period: 31 July 2013				Previous period: 31 Dec 2012				
	Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Inadequate	Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Inadequate	
24. Primary schools	1	11	3	0	1	9	5	0	
25. Secondary schools	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	
26. SILCs (citywide)									

27.	Pupil referral units (citywide)	0	3	0	0	0	1	2	0																											
28.	Children's centres																																			
29.	Children's homes																																			
<p>Commentary</p> <p>Two primary schools in the Inner West area committee area have seen their Ofsted rating rise from requires improvement to good (Bramley Primary School, and Bramley St Peter's C of E Primary School). Eighty per cent of primaries are now rated as good or better (up 13 percentage points from December). There is no change in the Ofsted ratings of the two secondary schools in the area: one is good, one requires improvement.</p> <p>All three children's homes in the Inner West area committee area are now rated good by Ofsted; at the end of December, two were rated adequate, but have since been inspected and have seen their ratings rise.</p>																																				
<p>Secondary schools</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2"></th> <th colspan="2">Current period</th> <th colspan="2">Previous period</th> <th colspan="2">Direction of travel</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Ofsted</th> <th>Attendance</th> <th>Ofsted</th> <th>Attendance</th> <th>Ofsted</th> <th>Attendance</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Leeds West Academy</td> <td>2</td> <td>93.5%</td> <td>2</td> <td>93.2%</td> <td>↔</td> <td>↕</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Swallow Hill Community College</td> <td>3</td> <td>89.0%</td> <td>3</td> <td>89.2%</td> <td>↔</td> <td>↕</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>											Current period		Previous period		Direction of travel		Ofsted	Attendance	Ofsted	Attendance	Ofsted	Attendance	Leeds West Academy	2	93.5%	2	93.2%	↔	↕	Swallow Hill Community College	3	89.0%	3	89.2%	↔	↕
	Current period		Previous period		Direction of travel																															
	Ofsted	Attendance	Ofsted	Attendance	Ofsted	Attendance																														
Leeds West Academy	2	93.5%	2	93.2%	↔	↕																														
Swallow Hill Community College	3	89.0%	3	89.2%	↔	↕																														

Key: AY - academic year FY - financial year HT - half term ... data below five (suppressed for confidentiality)

Ofsted grades: 1 = Outstanding, 2 = Good, 3 = Satisfactory/Requires improvement, 4 = Inadequate

¹ The citywide figure reports 'adjusted NEET' (see data definitions), the area committee figures do not take account of 'adjusted NEET'